arXiv:1610.03734v1 [math.AP] 12 Oct 2016

Existence and multiplicity results for the fractional Laplacian in bounded domains

Dimitri Mugnai and Dayana Pagliardini

Abstract

In this paper, first we study existence results for a linearly perturbed elliptic problem driven by the fractional Laplacian. Then, we show a multiplicity result when the perturbation parameter is close to the eigenvalues. This latter result is obtained by exploiting the topological structure of the sublevels of the associated functional, which permits to apply a critical point theorem of mixed nature due to Marino and Saccon.

Keywords: fractional Laplacian, eigenvalues, $(\nabla)-$condition, $\nabla-$theorem.
2000AMS Subject Classification: 35R11, 35J65, 35J20, 35J61.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{1/2}u = \lambda u + g(x,u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a given function; more precise details will be given below. Of course, problem (1) is a possible fractional counterpart of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u + g(x,u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

which has been the object of extensive study in the last four decades, essentially with the aid of variational methods, when $N \geq 3$.

We will not go into details about existence and multiplicity results for (2) according to different assumptions on g, since the bibliography would be huge, but we focus on multiplicity results with very general assumptions on g. The first result for $g(x,t) \sim |t|^{p-2}t$ was established by Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz in [1], where the authors assumed that

- $g : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function;

- there exist $a_1, a_2 > 0$ and $p \in (2, 2N/(N-2))$ such that for all $(x,t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$,
$$|g(x,t)| \le a_1 + a_2|t|^{p-1}; \tag{3}$$
- $g(x,t) = o(|t|)$ for $t \to 0$ uniformly in $\overline{\Omega}$;
- there exists $R \ge 0$ and $\mu > 2$ such that for all $|t| > R$ and all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$
$$0 < \mu G(x,t) \le g(x,t)t, \tag{4}$$
where $G(x,t) = \int_0^t g(x,\sigma)d\sigma$.

Let us note that, as a consequence of (4), we get the existence of $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for all $(x,t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$
$$G(x,t) \ge c_1|t|^\mu - c_2. \tag{5}$$

Under this assumptions, in [1] it is proved that problem (2) has two nontrivial solutions when $\lambda = 0$, though the same proof holds if $\lambda < \lambda_1$, where λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in Ω subject to homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Almost twenty years later, in [25], assuming $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^1, Wang proved that problem (2) has three nontrivial solutions under the same related assumptions. Since then, thousands of papers have estabilished other multiplicity results weakening the assumptions on the superlinear and subcritical g (see the recent paper by Mugnai and Papageorgiou [14] for more general operators). However, not much was done for the case $\lambda > \lambda_1$. The first result in proving Wang's result for $\lambda > \lambda_1$ and close to an eigenvalue can be found in Mugnai [11], whose result is complemented by Rabinowitz–Su–Wang in [18]. However, while in [18] $g : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be of class C^1, in [11], for a superlinear and supercritical g, it is assumed that

- $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function;
- there exist $a_1, a_2 > 0$ and $p \in (2, 2N/(N-2))$ such that (3) holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for a.e. $x \in \Omega$;
- $g(x,t) = o(|t|)$ for $t \to 0$ uniformly in Ω;
- the condition (4) holds for all $t \neq 0$ and for a.e. x in Ω with $\mu = p$.

Let us remark that with these weak assumptions, inequality (4) does *not* imply (5), and for this one has to *assume* that

- there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for a.e. x in Ω, we have $G(x,t) \ge c_1|t|^p$, see Mugnai [13].

Going back to problem (1), some remarks are needed. The operator $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$ which we consider is the spectral square root of the Laplacian, which should not be confused with the integro–differential operator defined, up to a constant, as
$$-(-\Delta)^s u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x+y) + u(x-y) - 2u(x)}{|y|^{n+2s}}\, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Indeed, in this case the homogeneous Dirichlet "boundary conditions" should be interpreted as $u \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$ (see [4], [20], [19] and [21]). In fact, in [20] the authors show that these two operators, though often denoted in the same way, are really different, with eigenvalues and eigenfunctions behaving quite differently.

As already said, we will consider the spectral square root of the Laplacian, defined according to the following procedure (see Cabré and Tan [2] and Caffarelli and Silvestre [3]). Let $H^{1/2}(\Omega)$ denote the Sobolev space of order $1/2$, defined as

$$H^{1/2}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega) \,:\, \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+1}} dxdy < \infty \right\}$$

with norm

$$\|u\|^2_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)} := \int_\Omega u^2 dx + \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+1}} dxdy.$$

Introducing the cylinder $\mathcal{C} := \Omega \times (0,\infty)$ with lateral boundary $\partial_L \mathcal{C} = \partial\Omega \times [0,\infty)$, set

$$H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}) := \left\{ v \in H^1(\mathcal{C}) \,:\, v = 0 \text{ a.e. on } \partial_L \mathcal{C} \right\},$$

and denote by tr_Ω the trace operator on $\Omega \times \{0\}$ for functions in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$,

$$tr_\Omega v(x,y) := v(x,0) \quad \text{ for all } (x,y) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Then, from standard results, we know that

$$\mathcal{V}_0(\Omega) := \left\{ u = tr_\Omega v \,:\, v \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}) \right\} \subset H^{1/2}(\Omega),$$

but a characterization of $\mathcal{V}_0$ is available from the following proposition.

Proposition 1.1 ([2], Proposition 2.1)**.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_0(\Omega) &= \left\{ u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega) \,:\, \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{\mathrm{d(x)}} dx < \infty \right\} \\ &= \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega) \,:\, u = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \alpha_k \varphi_k \text{ satisfies } \sum_{k=1}^\infty \alpha_k^2 \lambda_k < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $(\lambda_k^2, \varphi_k)_k$ is the Dirichlet spectral decomposition of $-\Delta$ in Ω, $(\varphi_k)_k$ being an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$, and $\mathrm{d}(x) := \mathrm{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$.

From these preliminaries, by [2, Proposition 2.2], for $u = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \alpha_k \varphi_k$, we define

$$(-\Delta)^{1/2} u := \sum_{k=1}^\infty \alpha_k \lambda_k \varphi_k. \tag{6}$$

With this definition in hand, the purpose of this paper is to prove a multiplicity result for problem (1), in a situation similar to that described above for (2), and, in view of the previous considerations, we assume that

(g_1) $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function;

(g_2) there exist $a_1, a_2 > 0$ and $p \in (2, 2N/(N-1))$ such that (3) holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for a.e. $x \in \Omega$;

(g_3) $g(x,t) = o(|t|)$ for $t \to 0$ uniformly in Ω;

(g_4) we have

$$0 < pG(x,t) = p \int_0^t g(x,\sigma)d\sigma \leq g(x,t)t$$

for all $t \neq 0$ and for a.e. $x \in \Omega$;

(g_5) there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that $G(x,t) \geq c_1|t|^p$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

Remark 1.2. Note that (g_3) implies that $G(x,t) = o(|t|^2)$ as $t \to 0$ uniformly in Ω and from (g_2) we get that

$$|G(x,t)| \leq a_1|t| + \frac{a_2}{p}|t|^p$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. As a consequence, $u = 0$ solves problem (1), and we look for nontrivial solutions.

In the case $g(x,t) = |t|^{p-2}t$, $2 < p < 2N/(N-1)$, an existence result for $\lambda = 0$ is proved in Cabré and Tan [2]. The proof therein can be immediately extended to the case $\lambda < \lambda_1$, but we are not aware of existence results for $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$, nor for general nonlinearities g. For this, we state our first result:

Theorem 1.3. *If (g_1) – (g_5) hold, then for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ problem (1) has one nontrivial solution.*

However, the previous result is standard, and we only present it for a complete description of the existence setting, as a counterpart of the result in Servadei and Valdinoci [19], when the fractional Laplacian is represented by a nonlocal integral operator, already introduced in [4, 21].

On the other hand, our main interest is providing a multiplicity theorem, which is much more involved. This result relies on the application of a critical point theorem of mixed type proved by Marino and Saccon in [7], recalled in the Appendix, together with an additional linking theorem and a fine estimate of critical levels. More precisely, we prove the following multiplicity result in Wang's direction, the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.4. *Assume (g_1) – (g_5) hold. Then, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \geq 2$, there exists $\delta_i > 0$ such that problem (1) has at least three nontrivial solutions for all $\lambda \in (\lambda_i - \delta_i, \lambda_i)$.*

We conclude recalling that the ∇–theorem we will employ has been extensively used in several contexts, in order to prove multiplicity results of different

problems, such as elliptic problems of second and fourth order, variational inequalities and reversed variational inequalities, see, for instance, [6, 5, 8, 12, 11, 10, 15, 22, 23, 24], and [9], where the analogous multiplicity result of this paper is considered when the underlying operator is the nonlocal one studied in [4, 19, 21].

2 Extended problem, preliminary lemmas and proof of Theorem 1.3

We know from [2] that solving problem (1) is equivalent to solving its extension in the cylinder $\mathcal{C} = \Omega \times (0, \infty)$, that is,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{C}, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial_L \mathcal{C}, \\ \dfrac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = \lambda v + g(x, v) & \text{in } \Omega \times \{0\}, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where $\partial_L \mathcal{C} = \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ is the cylinder lateral surface and ν is the outer normal at $\mathcal{C}$ in $\Omega \times \{0\}$. Let us briefly recall the relation between (7) and (1).

First, we will look for weak solutions to (7). For this, we note that the Sobolev space $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ introduced above is an Hilbert space when endowed with the norm

$$\|v\| = \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} |Dv|^2 \, dxdy \right)^{1/2},$$

induced by the inner product

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{C}} Dv \cdot Dw \, dxdy.$$

Following the "Dirichlet to Neumann" approach in a bounded domain Ω of $\mathbb{R}^N$ (cfr. [2]), for a given $u \in \mathcal{V}_0(\Omega)$ we consider the harmonic extension v of u, i.e. the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{C}, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial_L \mathcal{C}, \\ v = u & \text{in } \Omega \times \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

which is well defined by [2, Lemma 2.8]. Now, by [2, Proposition 2.2], we can give a definition of $(-\Delta)^{1/2} : \mathcal{V}_0(\Omega) \to \mathcal{V}_0(\Omega)^*$, equivalent to (6), as the operator that maps the Dirichlet datum u in the Neumann value of its harmonic extension

$$(-\Delta)^{1/2} u = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(\cdot, 0),$$

and hence, if v solves (7), then $u = tr_\Omega v$ solves (1), see [2, Proposition 2.2]. For this reason, from now on, we will look for weak solutions to (7).

Of course, problem (7) is variational and its solutions are critical points of the C^1 functional $f_\lambda : H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{C}} |Du|^2 dxdy - \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega u^2 dx - \int_\Omega G(x,u)dx.$$

Before attacking functional f_λ, we recall some other tools we will use in the following. Recalling that $(\lambda_k, \varphi_k)_k$ denote the eigenvalues and the associated eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ with homogeneous Dirichlet condition on $\partial\Omega$ with $(\varphi_k)_k$ an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$, from [2], we have

$$H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}) = \Big\{ v(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \varphi_k(x) e^{-\lambda_k y} \quad (x,y) \in \mathcal{C} \,:\, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k b_k^2 < \infty \Big\}.$$

Setting $e_k(x,y) = \varphi_k(x)e^{-\lambda_k y}$, we observe that the e_k are orthogonal in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$. Now, for every integer $i \geq 1$, put

$$H_i = \text{span}(e_1, \cdots, e_i) \;\text{ and }\; H_i^\perp = \overline{\text{span}(e_{i+1}, \cdots)}.$$

Then, a simple calculation gives the proof of the following inequalities:

Proposition 2.1. *If $u \in H_i$, then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} |Du|^2 \, dxdy \leq \lambda_i \int_\Omega u^2 \, dx. \tag{8}$$

Proposition 2.2 (Constrained Poincaré inequality)**.** *If $u \in H_i^\perp$, then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} |Du|^2 \, dxdy \geq \lambda_{i+1} \int_\Omega u^2 \, dx. \tag{9}$$

We will also use the continuous inclusions (see [2, Lemma 2.4])

$$H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega) \quad \text{for all } r \in \Big[1, \tfrac{2N}{N-1}\Big], \tag{10}$$

and the compact ones (see [2, Lemma 2.5])

$$H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega) \quad \text{for all } r \in \Big[1, \tfrac{2N}{N-1}\Big). \tag{11}$$

Now, we have all the ingredients to look for critical points of functional f_λ, i.e. to solve problem (7). As usual, the first step in applying variational methods is the following result:

Proposition 2.3. *If $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then f_λ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition, namely: every sequence $(u_n)_n$ such that $f_\lambda(u_n) \to c$ and $f'_\lambda(u_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ has a converging subsequence.*

Proof. Let $(u_n)_n \subset H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ be a Palais–Smale sequence at level $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, taken $k \in (2,p)$, there exis $M, N > 0$ such that

$$kf_\lambda(u_n) - f'_\lambda(u_n)u_n \leq M + N\|u_n\| \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, by (g_4) and (g_5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &kf_\lambda(u_n) - f'_\lambda(u_n)u_n \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)\|u_n\|^2 - \lambda\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)\int_\Omega u_n^2 dx + \int_\Omega [g(x,u_n)u_n - kG(x,u_n)]dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)\|u_n\|^2 - \lambda\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)\int_\Omega u_n^2 dx + (p-k)\int_\Omega G(x,u_n)\,dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)\|u_n\|^2 - \lambda\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)\int_\Omega u_n^2 dx + (p-k)c_1\int_\Omega |u_n|^p dx. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

By Young's inequality, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $D_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$u_n^2 \leq \varepsilon |u_n|^p + D_\varepsilon.$$

Hence, (13) implies that

$$kf_\lambda(u_n) - f'_\lambda(u_n)u_n \geq \left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)\|u_n\|^2 + \left[(p-k)c_1 - \varepsilon|\lambda|\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)\right]\int_\Omega |u_n|^p dx - D_\varepsilon.$$

Choosing ε sufficiently small, we finally get

$$kf_\lambda(u_n) - f'_\lambda(u_n)u_n \geq \left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)\|u_n\|^2 - D_\varepsilon,$$

and by (12), we get that $(u_n)_n$ is bounded. Then, we can assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ and $u_n \to u$ in $L^r(\Omega)$ for all $r \in [1, 2N/(N-1))$.

Since $f'_\lambda(u_n)(u_n - u) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, we immediately get that that u_n converges strongly to u, i.e. $(PS)_c$ holds. □

At this point we can prove Theorem 1.3:

Proof of Theorem 1.3. First of all we observe that, from the Remark 1.2, $f_\lambda(0) = 0$ and by (g_2) and (g_3), we get that, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$G(x,s) \leq \epsilon|u|^2 + C_\epsilon|s|^p \tag{14}$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now, we have to distinguish two cases: $\lambda < \lambda_1$ and $\lambda \in [\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1})$, for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

First case: $\lambda < \lambda_1$. We want to apply the mountain pass theorem (see [1]). Supposing $\lambda > 0$ (the other case being easier), by (14), the Poincaré inequality

(8) for $i = 0$ and by the continuous embedding of $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and in $L^p(\Omega)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2\lambda_1}\|u\|^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - C_\epsilon \|u\|^p_{L^p(\Omega)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\Big(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} - \frac{c\epsilon}{\lambda_1}\Big)\|u\|^2 - C\|u\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

for some absolute constants $c, C > 0$. Now, choosing ϵ enough small, we can suppose $A = \frac{1}{2}\Big(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} - \frac{c\epsilon}{\lambda_1}\Big) > 0$ and taking ρ sufficiently small we have that

$$\inf_{\|u\|=\rho} f_\lambda(u) \geq \alpha > 0$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. Moreover, if $u \neq 0$ and $t > 0$, by (g_5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_\lambda(tu) &= \frac{t^2}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}t^2\|u\|_2^2 - \int_\Omega G(x, tu)\, dx \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}t^2\|u\|_2^2 - c_1 t^p \|u\|_p^p \to -\infty, \end{aligned}$$

since $p > 2$. Recalling that the $(PS)_c$-condition holds for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the Mountain Pass Theorem implies that (1) has a nontrivial solution.

Second case: $\lambda \in [\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1})$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case we want to apply the linking theorem (see the Appendix). If $u \in H_i$, from (8) and (g_4), we have

$$f_\lambda(u) \leq \Big(\frac{\lambda_i}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2}\Big)\int_\Omega u^2 dx - \int_\Omega G(x, u)\, dx \leq 0.$$

Moreover, by (14) and (9), if $u \in H_i^\perp$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2\lambda_{i+1}}\|u\|^2 - \int_\Omega G(x, u)\, dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\Big(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{i+1}}\Big)\|u\|^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\int_\Omega u^2 dx - C_\epsilon \int_\Omega u^p dx > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (10), we obtain

$$f_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\Big(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{i+1}} - \frac{c\epsilon}{2}\Big)\|u\|^2 - C\|u\|^p$$

for some $c, C > 0$ and for all $u \in H_i^\perp$. Choosing ϵ and $\rho > 0$ small enough, we get

$$\inf_{\substack{u \in H_i^\perp, \\ \|u\|=\rho}} f_\lambda(u) \geq \alpha > 0.$$

Finally, if $u \in H_i$ and $t > 0$, by (g_5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_\lambda(u + te_{i+1}) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u + te_{i+1}\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega (u + te_{i+1})^2 dx - \int_\Omega G(x, u + te_{i+1})\, dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|u + te_{i+1}\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega (u + te_{i+1})^2 dx - c_1 \int_\Omega |u + te_{i+1}|^p dx \to -\infty \end{aligned}$$

as $t \to \infty$, since all norms are equivalent in $H_i \oplus (e_{i+1})$.

Recalling that $(PS)_c$-condition holds for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the Linking Theorem implies that problem (1) has a nontrivial solution. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4, the main contribution of this paper. For this, from now on, we assume that there exist $i \leq j$ in $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda_{i-1} < \lambda_i = \cdots = \lambda_j < \lambda_{j+1}$.

Let us start by introducing some notations. If $i < j$ in $\mathbb{N}$, we introduce the following sets:

$$S_j^+(\rho) = \{u \in H_j^\perp : \ \|u\| = \rho\},$$

and

$$T_{i,j}(R) = \Big\{u \in H_j \ : \ \|u\| = R\Big\} \cup \Big\{u \in H_i : \ \|u\| \leq R\Big\}.$$

We can now state our first Lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume $i, j \geq 2$ are such that $\lambda_{i-1} < \lambda_i = \cdots = \lambda_j < \lambda_{j+1}$ and $\lambda \in (\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_j)$. Then there exist R and ρ with $R > \rho > 0$ such that*

$$\sup f_\lambda(T_{i-1,j}(R)) < \inf f_\lambda(S_{i-1}^+(\rho)).$$

Proof. From (14), (9) and by the compact embedding in $L^2(\Omega)$ and in $L^p(\Omega)$ given in (11), we have the existence of $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\inf f_\lambda(S_{i-1}^+(\rho)) > 0.$$

Moreover, it is clear that $f_\lambda(H_{i-1}) \leq 0$. We conclude the proof by showing that

$$\lim_{\substack{\|u\| \to \infty \\ u \in H_j}} f_\lambda(u) = -\infty.$$

Such a result easily follows from (g_5) and from inequality (8). Indeed, if $u \in H_j$, then

$$f_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2\lambda_j}\|u\|^2 - c_1\|u\|_p^p,$$

and since all norms in H_j are equivalent, the lemma follows. □

Now take

$$a \in \Big(\sup f_\lambda(T_{i-1,j}(R), \inf f_\lambda(S_{i-1}^+(\rho))\Big)$$

and $b > \sup f_\lambda(\overline{B_j(R)})$, where $B_j(R)$ is the ball in H_j with radius R. Then, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose there exist integers $i, j \geq 2$ such that $\lambda_{i-1} < \lambda_i = \cdots = \lambda_j < \lambda_{j+1}$. Then, for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\forall\ \lambda \in [\lambda_{i-1} + \delta, \lambda_{j+1} - \delta]$, the only critical point u of f_λ in $H_{i-1} \oplus H_j^\perp$ such that $f_\lambda(u) \in [-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0]$, is the trivial one.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that there exist $\delta > 0$, $\lambda_n \in [\lambda_{i-1} + \delta, \lambda_{j+1} - \delta]$ and $(u_n)_n$ in $H_{i-1} \oplus H_j^{\perp} \backslash \{0\}$ such that

$$f_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } n \to \infty$$

and such that for all $z \in H_{i-1} \oplus H_j^{\perp}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} Du_n \cdot Dz \, dxdy - \lambda_n \int_{\Omega} u_n z \, dx - \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n) z \, dx = 0, \tag{15}$$

where

$$f_{\lambda_n}(u_n) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} |Du_n|^2 \, dxdy - \frac{\lambda_n}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_n^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) \, dx.$$

Up to a subsequence, we can assume that $\lambda_n \to \lambda$ in $[\lambda_{i-1} + \delta, \lambda_{j+1} - \delta]$. Choose $z = u_n$ in (15). Then, by (g_4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} |Du_n|^2 \, dxdy - \lambda_n \int_{\Omega} u_n^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n) u_n \, dx \\ &= 2 f_{\lambda_n}(u_n) + \int_{\Omega} [2G(x, u_n) - g(x, u_n) u_n] \, dx \\ &\leq 2 f_{\lambda_n}(u_n) + (2 - p) \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_n) \, dx = 0. \tag{16}$$

Now, let v_n in H_{i-1} and w_n in $H_j^{\perp}$ be such that $u_n = v_n + w_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and choose $z = v_n - w_n$ in (15). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{C}} |Dv_n|^2 \, dxdy - \lambda_n \int_{\Omega} v_n^2 \, dx - \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} |Dw_n|^2 \, dxdy - \lambda_n \int_{\Omega} w_n^2 \, dx \right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n)(w_n - v_n) \, dx \quad \forall \, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

By (8) and (9), we get the existence of $c = \delta / \lambda_{j+1} > 0$ independent of n, $(c = \delta / \lambda_{j+1})$, such that

$$c \|u_n\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n)(v_n - w_n) \, dx \quad \forall \, n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{17}$$

Here we used the fact that v_n and w_n are orthogonal, so that $\|u_n\|^2 = \|v_n\|^2 + \|w_n\|^2$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Moreover, by Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n)(v_n - w_n) \, dx \right| \leq &\left(\int_{\Omega} |g(x, u_n)|^{p/(p-1)} \, dx \right)^{1 - 1/p} \\ &\cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} |v_n - w_n|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

By the Sobolev embedding and by the compact embedding in (11), there exists an universal constant $\gamma_p > 0$ such that

$$\|v_n - w_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \gamma_p \|v_n - w_n\| = \gamma_p \|u_n\|. \tag{19}$$

In this way, since $u_n \neq 0$, (17), (18) and (19) imply that there exists $c' > 0$ such that

$$\|u_n\| \leq c' \left(\int_\Omega |g(x, u_n)|^{p/(p-1)} \, dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} \qquad \forall \, n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{20}$$

Up to a subsequence, there are two possibilities: either $\|u_n\| \to \infty$, or $\|u_n\|$ is bounded.

First case: $\|u_n\| \to \infty$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that there exists $u \in H_{i-1} \oplus H_j^\perp$ such that $u_n/\|u_n\| \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ and $u_n/\|u_n\| \to u$ in $L^r(\Omega)$ for every $r \in [1, 2N/(N-1))$, see (11). First of all, (16) implies that

$$0 \leftarrow 2\frac{f_{\lambda_n}(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2} \longrightarrow 1 - \lambda \int_\Omega u^2 \, dx \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

so that $u \not\equiv 0$. Moreover (g_2) and (g_5) imply that

$$\int_\Omega |g(x, u_n)|^{p/(p-1)} \, dx \leq a_1' + a_2' \int_\Omega G(x, u_n) \, dx.$$

But the last quantity is bounded by (16), while (20) leads to a contradiction.

Second case: $\|u_n\|$ *is bounded.* As before, we can suppose that there exists $u \in H_{i-1} \oplus H_j^\perp$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H_{i-1} \oplus H_j^\perp$ and $u_n \to u$ in $L^r(\Omega)$ for every $r \in [1, 2N/(N-1))$. Moreover (16) and (g_5) imply that $u = 0$.

If $u_n \to 0$, then by (20) and (g_3), we would have

$$1 \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} c' \frac{\left(\int_\Omega |g(x, u_n)|^{p/(p-1)} \, dx \right)^{(p-1)/p}}{\|u_n\|} = 0,$$

which is absurd. So, there should exist $\sigma > 0$ such that $\|u_n\| \geq \sigma$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$; but also in this case, since $u_n \to 0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$, from (20) we would obtain

$$\sigma \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} c' \left(\int_\Omega |g(x, u_n)|^{p/(p-1)} \, dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} = 0,$$

which is also absurd. □

For the following result we denote by $P : H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{span}(e_i, \cdots, e_j)$ and $Q : H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow H_{i-1} \oplus H_i^\perp$ the orthogonal projections.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose there exist integers $i, j \geq 2$ such that $\lambda_{i-1} < \lambda_i = \cdots = \lambda_j < \lambda_{j+1}$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(u_n)_n$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ be such that $(f_\lambda(u_n))_n$ is bounded, $Pu_n \to 0$ and $Q\nabla f_\lambda \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then $(u_n)_n$ is bounded.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $(u_n)_n$ in unbounded. Then we can suppose that there exists u in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $u_n/\|u_n\| \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ and $u_n/\|u_n\| \to u$ in $L^r(\Omega)$ for every $r \in [1, 2N/(N-1))$ as $n \to \infty$.

Note that $u_n = Pu_n + Qu_n$, $Pu_n \to 0$ and $Q\nabla f_\lambda(u_n) \to 0$, where $\nabla f_\lambda(u_n) = V_n$ is such that

$$f'_\lambda(u_n)v = \int_{\mathcal{C}} V_n \cdot Dv \, dxdy \quad \forall\, v \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}).$$

So we obtain

$$V_n = u_n - K(\lambda u_n + g(x, u_n)),$$

where $K : L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ is the operator defined by $K(u) = v$, where v is the weak solution v of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{C}, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial_L \mathcal{C}, \\ \dfrac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = u & \text{in } \Omega \times \{0\}. \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle Q\nabla f_\lambda(u_n), u_n\rangle = \langle \nabla f_\lambda(u_n), u_n\rangle - \langle P\nabla f_\lambda(u_n), u_n\rangle \\ &= \|u_n\|^2 - \lambda \int_\Omega u_n^2 \, dx - \int_\Omega g(x, u_n)u_n \, dx \\ &- \int_{\mathcal{C}} D(P(u_n - K(\lambda u_n + g(x, u_n)))) \cdot Du_n \, dxdy. \end{aligned}$$

But for every $z \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$, Pz is a smooth function and $DPu_n = PDu_n$, because $u \in \text{span}(e_i, \cdots, e_j)$ and $Pz \perp Qz$. In this way the last integral in the previous equation is equal to

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} |DPu_n|^2 \, dxdy - \lambda \int_\Omega |Pu_n|^2 \, dx - \int_\Omega g(x, u_n)Pu_n \, dx.$$

As a consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle Q\nabla f_\lambda(u_n), u_n\rangle = 2f_\lambda(u_n) + 2\int_\Omega G(x, u_n) \, dx \\ &- \int_\Omega g(x, u_n)u_n \, dx \\ &- \|Pu_n\|^2 + \lambda \int_\Omega |Pu_n|^2 \, dx + \int_\Omega g(x, u_n)Pu_n \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Now, observe that (g_2) implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\displaystyle\int_\Omega |g(x, u_n)Pu_n| \, dx}{\|u_n\|^{p-1}} = 0,$$

since

$$|g(x,u_n)Pu_n| \le \|Pu_n\|_\infty (a_1 + a_2|u_n|^{p-1})$$

and $\|Pu_n\|_\infty \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ by assumption. So, starting from (22), using (g_4) and dividing by $\|u_n\|^{p-1}$ for $p-1>1$, we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\displaystyle\int_\Omega G(x,u_n)\,dx}{\|u_n\|^{p-1}} = 0.$$

Moreover, (g_5) implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\displaystyle\int_\Omega |u_n|^p\,dx}{\|u_n\|^{p-1}} = 0,$$

and so $u \equiv 0$. Now, dividing $2f_\lambda(u_n)$ by $\|u_n\|^2$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\displaystyle\int_\Omega G(x,u_n)\,dx}{\|u_n\|^2} = \frac{1}{2} \tag{23}$$

and so, by (g_5), there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$\int_\Omega |u_n|^p\,dx \le c\|u_n\|^2. \tag{24}$$

Now, let us show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\displaystyle\int_\Omega |g(x,u_n)Pu_n|\,dx}{\|u_n\|^2} = 0. \tag{25}$$

Indeed, (g_2) and Hölder's inequality imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\displaystyle\int_\Omega |g(x,u_n)Pu_n|\,dx}{\|u_n\|^2} \\
&\le \frac{\|Pu_n\|_\infty}{\|u_n\|^2}\left(a_1 + a_2\int_\Omega |u_n|^{p-1}\,dx\right) \\
&\le \|Pu_n\|_\infty \left[\frac{a_1}{\|u_n\|^2} + \frac{a_2'}{\|u_n\|^{2/p}}\left(\frac{\int_\Omega |u_n|^p\,dx}{\|u_n\|^2}\right)^{(p-1)/p}\right],
\end{aligned}$$

and equality (25) follows from (24) and the fact that $p>2$.

In this way (22), (g_4) and (25) imply that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\displaystyle\int_\Omega G(x,u_n)\,dx}{\|u_n\|^2} = 0,$$

which contradicts (23). □

Now, by Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we can prove the following result:

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose there exist integers $i, j \geq 2$ such that $\lambda_{i-1} < \lambda_i = \cdots = \lambda_j < \lambda_{j+1}$. Then, for all $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\forall\ \lambda \in [\lambda_{i-1} + \delta, \lambda_{j+1} - \delta]$ and $\forall\ \epsilon', \epsilon'' \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ with $\epsilon' < \epsilon''$, condition $(\nabla)(f_\lambda, H_{i-1} \oplus H_j^\perp, \epsilon', \epsilon'')$ holds (see the Appendix).*

Before proving Proposition 3.4, we will give a property of the operator K definited in (21):

Lemma 3.5. *The operator $K : L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ is compact.*

Proof. Let $(u_n)_n \subset L^2(\Omega)$ be a bounded sequence and set $v_n := K(u_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since, by (21), we have

$$\|v_n\|^2 \leq \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

we immediately get that $(v_n)_n$ is bounded. Thus, we can suppose that there exists $v \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ and $v_n \to v$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$. In this way, since

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} |Dv_n|^2 \, dxdy - \int_{\mathcal{C}} Dv_n \cdot Dv \, dx - \int_\Omega u_n (v_n - v) \, dx = 0$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, exploiting the previous convergences and recalling that $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$, we immediately have that

$$\|v_n\|^2 \to \|v\|^2 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

that is, $v_n \to v$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ as $n \to \infty$. □

Proof of Proposition 3.4. Assume by contradiction that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\epsilon_0 > 0$ there exist $\lambda \in [\lambda_{i-1} + \delta, \lambda_{j+1} - \delta]$ and $\epsilon', \epsilon'' \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, such that the condition $(\nabla)(f_\lambda, H_{i-1} \oplus H_j^\perp, \epsilon', \epsilon'')$ does not hold.

Take $\epsilon_0 > 0$ as given by Lemma 3.2, and take a sequence $(u_n)_n$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $f_\lambda(u_n) \in [\epsilon', \epsilon'']$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(u_n, H_{i-1} \oplus H_j^\perp) \to 0$ and $Q\nabla f_\lambda(u_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, i.e. (u_n) is a sequence which makes $(\nabla)(f_\lambda, H_{i-1} \oplus H_j^\perp, \epsilon', \epsilon'')$ false.

Then, by Lemma 3.3, we get that $(u_n)_n$ is bounded and we can assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ and $u_n \to u$ in $L^r(\Omega)$ for all $r \in [1, 2N/(N-1))$ as $n \to \infty$.

Now,

$$Q\nabla f_\lambda(u_n) = u_n - Pu_n - K(\lambda u_n + g(x, u_n)),$$

and we know that $g(x, u_n) \to g(x, u)$ in $L^{p/(p-1)}(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$ by (g_2). Moreover, $Q\nabla f_\lambda(u_n) \to 0$ and $Pu_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus, by Lemma 3.5, we find that $u_n \to u$ in $H_{i-1} \oplus H_j^\perp$ and u is a critical point of f_λ on $H_{i-1} \oplus H_j^\perp$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2, we know that $u = 0$, while $0 < \epsilon' \leq f_\lambda(u_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which contradicts the fact that f_λ is continuous. Hence, the claim follows. □

In order to apply the ∇-Theorem (see the Appendix), at this point we have only to show that $\sup f_\lambda(\overline{B_j(R)})$ is small enough. In order to do that, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *We have*

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\lambda_j} \sup f_\lambda(H_j) = 0.$$

Proof. Assume by contradiction that there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and sequences $\mu_n \to \lambda_j$, $(u_n)_n$ in H_j, such that

$$\sup f_{\mu_n}(H_j) = f_{\mu_n}(u_n) \geq \epsilon \quad \forall\, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Note that $(u_n)_n$ is well defined, since f_{μ_n} attains a maximum in H_j thanks to condition (g_5).

If $(u_n)_n$ is bounded, we can assume that $u_n \to u$ in H_j. In this way, by continuity, we have

$$\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{C}} |Du|^2\, dxdy - \frac{\lambda_j}{2}\int_\Omega u^2\, dx - \int_\Omega G(x,u)\, dx \leq 0$$

by (8) and (g_5), and a contradiction arises. So we can assume that $\|u_n\| \to \infty$.

In this case, by (g_5) and the Sobolev inequality, there exists $\gamma_p > 0$ such that

$$0 < \epsilon \leq f_{\mu_n}(u_n) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 - \frac{\mu_n}{2\lambda_j}\|u_n\|^2 - c_1\gamma_p\|u_n\|^p, \tag{26}$$

and since all norms are equivalent in H_j, the right hand side of the last inequality would tend to $-\infty$, which is absurd again. □

In order to prove Theorem 1.4, first we need some preliminary results.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose there exist integers $i, j \geq 2$ such that $\lambda_{i-1} < \lambda_i = \cdots = \lambda_j < \lambda_{j+1}$. Then, there exist $\delta_1 > 0$, $\epsilon', \epsilon'' > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in (\lambda_j - \delta_1, \lambda_j)$ problem (1) has at least two non trivial solutions u_1, u_2 with $f_\lambda(u_i) \in [\epsilon', \epsilon'']$, $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. Take $\delta' > 0$ and find ϵ_0 as in Proposition 3.4. Fix $\epsilon' < \epsilon'' < \epsilon_0$. Then, by Lemma 3.6, there exists $\delta_1 \leq \delta'$ such that, if $\lambda \in (\lambda_j - \delta_1, \lambda_j)$, we have $\sup f_\lambda(H_j) < \epsilon''$ and by Proposition 3.4 the condition $(\nabla) - (f_\lambda, H_{i-1} \oplus H_j^\perp, \epsilon', \epsilon'')$ holds. Moreover, since $\lambda < \lambda_j$, the topological structure of Lemma 3.1 is satisfied.

By the ∇-Theorem (see Theorem 4.3 in the Appendix), there exist two critical points u_1, u_2 of f_λ such that $f_\lambda(u_i) \in [\epsilon', \epsilon''], i = 1, 2$. In particular u_1 and u_2 are nontrivial solutions of (1), since $f_\lambda(0) = 0$. □

In order to prove the existence of a third nontrivial solution, let us prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose there exist integers $i, j \geq 2$ such that $\lambda_{i-1} < \lambda_i = \cdots = \lambda_j < \lambda_{j+1}$. Then, there exists $\delta_i > 0$, $\rho_1 > 0$ and $R_1 > \rho_1$ such that for all $\lambda \in (\lambda_i - \delta_i, \lambda_i)$, we have*

$$\inf f_\lambda(S_j^+(\rho_1)) > \sup f_\lambda(T_{i,j+1}(R_1)).$$

In particular there exists a critical point u_3 of f_λ such that

$$f_\lambda(u_3) \geq \inf f_\lambda(S_j^+(\rho_1)).$$

Proof. Take $\lambda \in [\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i)$. By (9) and (g_5) we get that for all $\tau > 0$ there exist $\rho_1 > 0$ such that, if $v \in H_j^\perp$ and $\|v\| = \rho_1$, then

$$f_\lambda(v) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{j+1}} - \tau\right)\rho_1^2. \tag{27}$$

Choosing τ small enough, we get that $C = 1 - \dfrac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_{j+1}} - \tau > 0$, so that (27) reads

$$f_\lambda(v) \geq C\rho_1^2.$$

By (g_5) and (8), as in (26), we get that $f_\lambda(u) \to -\infty$ if $u \in H_{j+1}$ and $\|u\| \to \infty$, since all the norms in H_{j+1} are equivalent. By Lemma 3.6, there exists $\delta_i > 0$ such that $\forall\ \lambda$ in $(\lambda_i - \delta_i, \lambda_i)$, we have

$$\sup f_\lambda(H_j) < C\rho_1^2.$$

Finally, we choose $\delta_i < \delta_1$, where δ_1 is the one found in Theorem 3.7.

In this way, the classical Linking Theorem (see the Appendix) shows the existence of a critical point u_3 of f_λ such that $f_\lambda(u_3) \geq C\rho_1^2$. □

Note that, although the topological structure found in Lemma 3.8 is equal to the one of Lemma 3.1, it is not possible to apply the ∇-theorem again, since it is not clear if $(\nabla) - \Big(f_\lambda, H_j \oplus H_{j+1}^\perp, C\rho_1^2, \sup f_\lambda(B_{j+1}(R_1))\Big)$ holds.

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.4, which becomes an obvious corollary of all the previous results.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Take δ_i as given in Lemma 3.8. Then the critical point u_3 found there is different from the critical points u_1, u_2 found in Theorem 3.7, since

$$f_\lambda(u_i) \leq \sup f_\lambda(H_j) < C\rho_1^2 \leq f_\lambda(u_3),$$

$i = 1, 2$. □

4 Appendix

Although it is a well-known result in critical point theory, we recall here the linking theorem (see [17, Theorem 5.3] or [16, Theorem 1.1]), in order to state an a priori estimate on critical values which we will use to prove Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 4.1 (Linking Theorem)**.** *Let X be a Banach space such that $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ with $\dim X_1 < \infty$, and let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be of class C^1. Assume that*

i) $f(0) = 0$*;*

ii) there exist $\rho, \alpha > 0$ such that $\inf f(S_\rho \cap X_2) \geq \alpha$ and there exist $R > \rho$, $e \in X_2$ with $\|e\| = 1$ such that $\sup f(\Sigma_R) \leq 0$, where S_ρ denotes the sphere in X of radius ρ, with

$$\Sigma_R = \partial_{X_1 \oplus span(e)} \Delta_R \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Delta_R = \{u + te : u \in X_1, t > 0, \|u + te\| \leq R\}.$$

iii) $(PS)_\beta$ holds, where

$$\beta = \inf_{h \in H} \sup_{u \in \Delta_R} f(h(u))$$

and

$$H = \{h \in C(\Delta_R, X) : h_{|\Sigma_R} = Id\}.$$

Then, β is a critical value for f, and

$$\alpha \leq \beta \leq \sup_{u \in \Delta_R} f(u).$$

Definition 4.2 (see [7])**.** Let X be a Hilbert space, $f : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a C^1 function, M a closed subspace of X and $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$.

We say that the condition $(\nabla) - (f, M, a, b)$ holds if there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\inf \left\{ \|P_M \nabla f(u)\| : a \leq f(u) \leq b, d(u, M) \leq \gamma \right\} > 0,$$

where $P_M : X \longrightarrow M$ is the orthogonal projection of X on M and $d(u, M) = \inf_{z \in M} d(u, z)$ denotes the distance of u from the subspace M.

This means that, if the condition above holds, then $f_{|_M}$ cannot have critical points u with $a \leq f(u) \leq b$ with some uniformity.

Theorem 4.3 (∇**-Theorem**, see [7])**.** *Let X be a Hilbert space and let $X_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ be three subspaces of X such that $X = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus X_3$ with $\dim X_i < \infty$ for $i = 1, 2$. Denote with $P_i : X \longrightarrow X_i$ the orthogonal projection of X on X_i. Let $f : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class C^1. Let $\rho, \rho', \rho'', \rho_1$ be such that $\rho_1 > 0$, $0 \leq \rho' < \rho < \rho''$ and define*

$$\Delta = \left\{ u \in X_1 \oplus X_2 : \rho' \leq \|P_2 u\| \leq \rho'', \|P_1 u\| \leq \rho_1 \right\},$$

$$T = \partial_{X_1 \oplus X_2} \Delta, \quad S_{23}(\rho) = \Big\{ u \in X_2 \oplus X_3 : \|u\| = \rho \Big\}$$

and

$$B_{23}(\rho) = \Big\{ u \in X_2 \oplus X_3 : \|u\| \leq \rho \Big\}.$$

Suppose that

$$a' := \sup f(T) < \inf f(S_{23}(\rho)) =: a''.$$

Let a and b be such that $a' < a < a''$ and $b > \sup f(\Delta)$. Suppose that the condition $(\nabla) - (f, X_1 \oplus X_3, a, b)$ holds and that $(PS)_c$ holds for all $c \in [a, b]$. Then, f has at least two critical points in $f^{-1}([a, b])$. Moreover, if

$$\inf f(B_{23}(\rho)) > -\infty$$

and $(PS)_c$ holds for all $c \in [a_1, b]$ with

$$a_1 < \inf f(B_{23}(\rho)),$$

then f has another critical level in $[a_1, a']$.

Note that, in our context, the critical level in $[a_1, a']$ could vanish, so that no other nontrivial solution is obtained.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like the thank the anonymous referee for her/his careful reading of the manuscript and her/his comments, which improved the final presentation.

References

[1] A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **14** (1973), 349–381.

[2] X. Cabré, J. Tan, *Positive solutions of nonlinear problems involving the square root of the* , Adv. Math. **224** (2010), no. 5, 2052–2093.

[3] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **32** (2007), no. 7–9 1245–1260.

[4] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima, M Takeda, Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes. Walter De Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.

[5] P. Magrone, D. Mugnai, R. Servadei , *Multiplicity of solutions for semilinear variational inequalities via linking and ∇-theorems*, J. Differential Equations, **228** (2006), no. 1, 191–225.

[6] A. Marino, D. Mugnai, *Asymptotical multiplicity and some reversed variational inequalities*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **20** (2002), no. 1, 43–62.

[7] A. Marino, C. Saccon, *Some Variational Theorems of Mixed Type and Elliptic Problems with jumping nonlinearities*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **25** (1997), no. 3–4, 661–665.

[8] A. Marino, C. Saccon, *Nabla Theorems and multiple solutions for some noncooperative elliptic systems*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **17** (2001), no. 1, 213–238.

[9] G. Molica Bisci, D. Mugnai, R. Servadei, *On multiple solutions for nonlocal fractional problems via ∇-theorems*, preprint (2015), http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.08701.

[10] D. Mugnai, *On a reversed variational inequality*, Top. Meth. Nonlin. Anal., **17**(2001), no. 2, 321–358.

[11] D. Mugnai, *Multiplicity of critical points in presence of a linking: application to a superlinear boundary value problem*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **19** (2004), no. 3, 379–391.

[12] D. Mugnai, *Four nontrivial solutions for subcritical exponential equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **32** (2008), no. 4, 481–497.

[13] D. Mugnai, *Addendum to: Multiplicity of critical points in presence of a linking: application to a superlinear boundary value problem NoDEA (2004), no. 3, 379–391, and a comment on the generalized Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz condition*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **11** (2012), no. 3, 299–301.

[14] D. Mugnai, N.S. Papageorgiou, *Wang's multiplicity result for superlinear (p, q)-equations without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), no. 9, 4919–4937.

[15] Z.-Q. Ou, C. Li, *Existence of three nontrivial solutions for a class of superlinear elliptic equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **390** (2012), no. 1, 418–426.

[16] P.H. Rabinowitz, *Some critical point theorems and applications to semilinear elliptic partial differential equations*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **5** (1978), no. 1, 215–223.

[17] P.H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. 65, American Mathematical Society, Providence, (1986).

[18] P.H. Rabinowitz, J. Su, Z.-Q. Wang, *Multiple solutions of superlinear elliptic equations*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. **18** (2007), no. 1, 97–108.

[19] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **33** (2013), no. 5, 2105–2137.

[20] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *On the spectrum of two different fractional operators*, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A, Math. **144** (2014), no. 4, 831–855.

[21] R. Song, Z. Vondraček, *Potential theory of subordinate killed Brownian motion in a domain*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **125** (2003), no. 4, 578–592.

[22] F. Wang, *Multiple solutions for some nonlinear Schrdinger equations with indefinite linear part*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **331** (2007), no. 2, 1001–1022.

[23] F. Wang, *Multiple solutions for some Schrödinger equations with convex and critical nonlinearities in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **342** (2008), no. 1, 255–276.

[24] W. Wang, A. Zang, P. Zhao, *Multiplicity of solutions for a class of fourth elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal., **70** (2009), no. 12, 4377–4385.

[25] Z.-Q. Wang, *On a superlinear elliptic equation*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **8** (1991), no. 1, 43–57.

Dimitri Mugnai[1]: Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, University of Perugia, Via Vanvitelli 1, 06123 Perugia - Italy
e-mail: dimitri.mugnai@unipg.it

Dayana Pagliardini: Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa- Italy
e-mail: dayana.pagliardini@sns.it

[1]D. M. is member of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM), and is supported by the GNAMPA project *Systems with irregular operators*